## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CONNIE DEEMER 1591 Newlins Rd. E. Easton, PA 18040

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

No.:

v.

ALLENTOWN RESCUE MISSION, INC.

355 W. Hamilton St.

Allentown, PA 18105-0748

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Connie Deemer (*hereinafter* referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

### I. Introduction

1. Plaintiff has initiated this action to redress violations by Allentown Rescue Missions, Inc. (*hereinafter* referred to as "Defendant") of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII" - 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e, *et. seq.*) and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA - 43 Pa. C.S. §§ 951 *et. seq.*). Plaintiff asserts herein that she was unlawfully terminated from her employment with Defendant in violation of these laws and seeks damages as set forth more fully herein.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff's claims under the PHRA are referenced herein for notice purposes. She is required to wait 1 full year before initiating a lawsuit from date of dual-filing with the EEOC. Plaintiff must however file her lawsuit in advance of same because of the date of issuance of her federal right-to-sue-letter under Title VII. Plaintiff's PHRA claims however will mirror identically her federal claims under Title VII.

### II. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 2. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Co. v.</u> State of Washington, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 3. This action is initiated pursuant to a federal law. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the claims arise under laws of the United States. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's future state-law claims because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as her federal claims herein.
- 4. Venue is properly laid in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), because Defendant resides in and/or conducts business in this judicial district and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.
- 5. Plaintiff filed a Charge of discrimination and retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and also dual-filed said charge with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC"). Plaintiff has properly exhausted her administrative proceedings under Title VII before initiating this action by timely filing her Charge with the EEOC, and by filing the instant lawsuit within 90 days of receiving a right-to-sue letter from the EEOC.

### III. Parties

- 6. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 7. Plaintiff is an adult female who resides at the above-captioned address.
- 8. Defendant is a social services organization located at the above-captioned address providing which provides goods and services to homeless and impoverished men.
- 9. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for the benefit of Defendant.

### IV. Factual Background

- 10. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 11. Plaintiff is an adult female.
  - 12. Plaintiff was hired to work with Defendant on or about April 6, 2015.
- 13. Since her hire date in April of 2015, Plaintiff worked as a Controller for Defendant.
- 14. Defendant is primarily governed by a Board of Directors (*hereinafter* the "Board" or "Board of Directors), which not surprisingly is made up of primarily males (if not all males at this point in time).
- 15. While employed with Defendant, Plaintiff observed overt gender discrimination being exhibited by Defendant's Board of Directors, primarily Dean Browning ("Browning"), Stuart Smith ("Smith"), and Thomas Gibson ("Gibson").

- 16. The gender-related discrimination that Plaintiff observed while employed with Defendant was not only directed towards her but towards other female employees as well.
- 17. For example, Plaintiff witnessed gender-related discriminatory comments as well as gender-biased promotional decisions, strategic decisions, and firing decisions:
  - i. Plaintiff was excluded from multiple Board of Directors meetings, which would have been necessary for her to attend in order to properly perform her job duties;
  - ii. Plaintiff was disciplined for things that Defendant's male employee would do but not be disciplined for;
  - iii. In or about November/December of 2017, as part of several alleged contingencies to get Defendant's budget approved, the Board of Directors voted to remove a female from her role as Director of Operations and demote her into the role Program Director.<sup>2</sup> During the same meeting that the Board of Directors voted to demote the female Director of Operations, they also voted to promote a recently hired male into a higher position;
  - iv. Board members expressed objections to having a woman as the President of the Board of Directors;
  - v. When a female was slated to take office as President of the Board of Directors in 2017, certain board members began to question her ability to govern due to a conflict of interest, as she worked for a university which provided free health care to homeless men at the shelter. However, upon information a belief, there was a male member of the Board of Directors in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This female was then terminated from her employment with Defendants shortly after the announcement of her demotion. Upon information and belief, this female individual had complained of gender discrimination shortly before her termination from Defendants (similar to Plaintiff – discussed *infra*).

- the past who had the same supposed conflict as this aforesaid female; however, his abilities as a Board member were never questioned;
- vi. The Board of Directors refused to open the mission to <u>women</u> and children;
- vii. During a Board meeting, one of the Board members (Will Hahn) asked if a male or female would be better suited for the Development Manager role, even though a female was already performing and being considered for said role;
- viii. During a Board meeting, Plaintiff suggested that Defendant's management and Board of Directors should be more diverse, including but not limited to promoting or hiring a woman into a management role or on the Board. Plaintiff was chastised for this statement and issued a disciplinary action claiming that she was exhibiting sex discrimination in the workplace; and
  - ix. Male Board members were extremely rude and condescending to females, including but not limited to refusing to allow them to speak during Board meetings and/or refusing to include them in Board meetings (as in Plaintiff's case).
- 18. As a result of the aforesaid discriminatory animus that Plaintiff was being subjected to and observing within the workplace, she expressed concerns of gender discrimination to various members of the Board as well as Defendant's outside legal counsel.
- 19. For example, on or about December 6, 2017, Plaintiff sent an e-mail to the Board of Directors, including Browning, Smith, and Gibson, as well as Defendant's outside legal counsel, Kim Spotts-Kimmel, giving several examples of gender discrimination and indicating

that her "perception is that there is a gender-driven hostile work environment fostered by certain board members."

- 20. By way of further example, on or about January 25, 2018, Plaintiff again complained of gender discrimination via e-mail when the former Director of Operations (discussed *supra*) was terminated while her own complaint of gender discrimination was upon information and belief still pending.
- 21. Following her complaints of gender discrimination, Plaintiff was instructed in a Memorandum provided to her by Defendant's Board that she was to take any further operational or human resource concerns to Gibson in his role as Interim managing Director despite the fact the Gibson was one of the individuals she had previously asserted was discriminating against women.
- 22. On February 21, 2018, Gibson responded to Plaintiff's December 6, 2017 e-mail in a Memorandum. In Gibson's February 21, 2018 Memorandum he (1) refuses to admit that Plaintiff's aforesaid complaint was one of gender discrimination/sexual harassment; (2) downplays Plaintiff's concerns of gender discrimination; (3) fails to indicate that Defendant conducted any investigation or made any steps to resolve her concerns; (4) threatens her with disciplinary action; and (5) reiterates that she is not to discuss any concerns with Defendant's outside counsel but should report any issues to him directly (or another member of the Board if the issue she was complaining of involved him).
- 23. In addition to the aforementioned Memorandums, Plaintiff was subjected to other forms of retaliation following her complaints of gender discrimination and as result complained of retaliation to various Board members.

- 24. For example, (1) Plaintiff continued to be excluded from important Board meetings, which interfered with her ability to perform her job duties; (2) Defendant's management/Board began stripping Plaintiff of her job duties and took away her ability to perform her job effectively; (3) issued her a negative performance evaluation; and (4) issued her two pretextual disciplines in one day.
- 25. Plaintiff continued to complain through April of 2018 that Defendant's management was retaliating against her because of her prior complaint of discrimination/hostile work environment; however, upon information and belief, neither Defendant's management nor Board conducted any investigation into her complaints of discrimination/retaliation and refused to take prompt remedial action.
- 26. Instead, Plaintiff was ultimately terminated from her employment with Defendant on or about April 27, 2018 for completely pretextual reasons.
- 27. Plaintiff therefore believes and avers that her termination from Defendant was completely pretextual, as she was terminated (1) after being subjected to multiple forms of hostility and animosity as a result of her gender; (2) shortly following her complaints of gender discrimination and retaliation; and (3) after being bombarded with what cannot be described as anything else other than a paper trail of retaliatory discipline and reprimands.

# First Cause of Action <u>Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII")</u> ([1] Gender Discrimination [2] Hostile Work Environment & [3] Retaliation)

28. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

- 29. Plaintiff was consistently and continuously subjected to demeaning, derogatory and/or discriminatory treatment by Defendant's management and Board of Directors throughout her employment with Defendant (as discussed *supra*).
- 30. Not only was Plaintiff personally subjected to Defendant's discriminatory treatment but Plaintiff also observed other female employees being subject to the same or similar gender-related discriminatory treatment
- 31. Plaintiff expressed concerns of gender discrimination on various occasions to Defendant's management, Board of Directors, and outside legal counsel; however, Plaintiff's complaints were never properly investigated or resolved in any meaningful manner.
- 32. Instead, Plaintiff was subjected to multiple forms of retaliation, including but not limited to pretextual discipline, Memorandums which would dissuade a reasonable person from continuing to complain of discrimination, a negative rating on her performance evaluation, and threats of termination.
- 33. As a result of the aforesaid retaliatory behavior that Plaintiff was being subjected to following her complaints of gender discrimination, Plaintiff expressed concerns to Defendant's management and Board of Directors that she believe Defendant's aforesaid actions were retaliatory.
- 34. Plaintiff continued to complain about the aforesaid retaliation by Defendant's management and Board of Directors up and through April of 2018.
- 35. On or about April 27, 2018, Plaintiff was terminated from her employment with Defendant for completely pretextual reasons.

- 36. Plaintiff believes and avers that she was subjected to a hostile work environment, issued a negative performance evaluation, issued pretextual disciplinary actions, and ultimately terminated because of her gender and/or because of her complaints of gender discrimination.
  - 37. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of Title VII.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an order providing that:

- A. Defendant is to be prohibited from continuing to maintain its illegal policy, practice, or custom of retaliating against employees and are to be ordered to promulgate an effective policy against such discrimination/retaliation and to adhere thereto;
- B. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, bonuses and medical and other benefits. Plaintiff should be accorded those benefits illegally withheld from the date she first suffered discrimination/retaliation at the hands of Defendant until the date of verdict;
- C. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive damages as permitted by applicable law in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct, and to deter Defendant from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- D. Plaintiff is to be accorded any and all other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper, and appropriate (including but not limited to emotional distress/pain and suffering damages where permitted under applicable law(s)).
- E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable legal fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;

F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the

financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in

applicable federal law;

G. Plaintiff's claims are to receive a trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable

law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in accordance with

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

Ari R. Karpf, Esquire 3331 Street Road

Building 2, Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: September 10, 2018

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4970	akarpf@karpf-law.com	**********************				
Date	Attorney-at-law	Plaintiff Attorney for					
9/10/2018		Districtiff					
(f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.							
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)							
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.							
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.							
(b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.							
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.							
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CASE I	MANAGEMENT TRACKS:					
plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and so side of this form.) In the designation, that defenda the plaintiff and all other	Case Management Track erve a copy on all defendance event that a defendant on the shall, with its first appears to the content of the content	Delay Reduction Plan of this court, couns Designation Form in all civil cases at the tinns. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the redoes not agree with the plaintiff regarding trance, submit to the clerk of court and serent Track Designation Form specifying the eassigned.	ne ver sa	of se id			
Allentown Rescu	e Mission, Inc.	NO.					
v.							
V.	•						

(Civ. 660) 10/02

# Case 5:18-cv-03870-Jane Docaments fried of 10/18 Page 12 of 13 For the eastern district of Pennsylvania

#### **DESIGNATION FORM**

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 1591 Newlins Road East, Easton, PA 18404								
Address of Defendant: _355 West Hamilton Street, Allentown, PA 18105-0748								
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business								
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:								
Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:								
Civil cases are deemed related when <b>Yes</b> is answered to any of the following questions:								
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year yes No X previously terminated action in this court?								
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No X No X								
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?								
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No   X								
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.								
DATE: 9/10/2018 ARK2484 / 91538								
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)								
CIVIL: (Place a √in one category only)								
A. Federal Question Cases:  B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:								
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts       □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts         □ 2. FELA       □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury         □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury       □ 3. Assault, Defamation         □ 4. Antitrust       □ 4. Marine Personal Injury         □ 5. Patent       □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury         □ 6. Labor-Management Relations       □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):								
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts       □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts         □ 2. FELA       □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury         □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury       □ 3. Assault, Defamation         □ 4. Antitrust       □ 4. Marine Personal Injury         □ 5. Patent       □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury								
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts       □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts         □ 2. FELA       □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury         □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury       □ 3. Assault, Defamation         □ 4. Antitrust       □ 4. Marine Personal Injury         □ 5. Patent       □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury         □ 6. Labor-Management Relations       □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):         □ 7. Civil Rights       □ 7. Products Liability         □ 8. Habeas Corpus       □ 8. Products Liability - Asbestos         □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases       □ 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):         □ 10. Social Security Review Cases       □ 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):         □ 11. All other Federal Question Cases								
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts   □ 2. FELA □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury   □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation   □ 4. Antitrust □ 4. Marine Personal Injury   □ 5. Patent □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   □ 6. Labor-Management Relations □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): □   □ 7. Civil Rights □ 7. Products Liability   □ 8. Habeas Corpus □ 8. Products Liability - Asbestos   □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Cases   □ 10. Social Security Review Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Cases   □ 11. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury   □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Marine Personal Injury   □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): □   □ 8. Products Liability - Asbestos □ 9. All other Diversity Cases   □ 9. All other Diversity Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Cases   □ 11. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury   □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation   □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Marine Personal Injury   □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury □ 6. Other Personal Injury   □ 6. Other Personal Injury □ 9. All other Personal Injury   □ 7. Products Liability □ 9. All other Diversity Cases   □ 9. All other Diversity Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Cases   □ 10. All other Personal Injury								
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts       □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts         2. FELA       □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury         3. Jones Act-Personal Injury       □ 3. Assault, Defamation         4. Antitrust       □ 4. Marine Personal Injury         5. Patent       □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury         6. Labor-Management Relations       □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): □ −         7. Civil Rights       □ 7. Products Liability         8. Habeas Corpus       □ 8. Products Liability − Asbestos         9. Securities Act(s) Cases       □ 9. All other Diversity Cases         10. Social Security Review Cases       □ 9. All other Diversity Cases         11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): □ −         ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION								
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts       □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts         □ 2. FELA       □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury         □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury       □ 3. Assault, Defamation         □ 4. Antitrust       □ 4. Marine Personal Injury         □ 5. Patent       □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury         □ 6. Labor-Management Relations       □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): □ □ □         □ 7. Civil Rights       □ 7. Products Liability − Asbestos         □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases       □ 9. All other Diversity Cases         □ 10. Social Security Review Cases       □ 9. All other Federal Question Cases         □ 11. All other Federal Question Cases       (Please specify): □         □ 12. ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION       (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)								
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  2. FELA  3. Jones Act-Personal Injury  4. Antitrust  5. Patent  6. Labor-Management Relations  7. Civil Rights  8. Habeas Corpus  9. Securities Act(s) Cases  10. Social Security Review Cases  11. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  12. Airplane Personal Injury  13. Assault, Defamation  4. Marine Personal Injury  5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):  7. Products Liability  8. Products Liability  9. Securities Act(s) Cases  10. Social Security Review Cases  11. All other Diversity Cases  (Please specify):  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  I, Ari R. Karpf  7. counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:								
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Other Personal Injury   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases   (Please specify):   11. All other Federal Question Cases   (Please specify):   12. ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION   (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)    ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION   (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)   ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION   (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)								

## Case 5:18-cv-03870-JFL Document 1. Filed 09/10/18 Page 13 of 13 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do			the United States in September 19	774, is required for the use of th	e Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
DEEMER, CONNIE			ALLENTOWN RESCUE MISSION, INC.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Northampton (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Lehigh  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(a) Attornava (Fig. No. )	Allow W. ITalahan Nasaha					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti, I	Address, and Telephone Number P.C.: 3331 Street Road		Attorneys (If Known) Square			
Suite 128, Bensalem, PA			*			
II DACIC OF HIDICOL	CTION (DI (WILL)		III CITIZENSHID OF D	DINCIDAL DADTIES	VNI WYY O D C DI : :C	
			III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif  (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)			
1 U.S. Government X 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)		$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and Pri of Business In A	1	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	· ·		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS  440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting X 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERT  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  385 Other Personal Property Damage  Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty  Other:  540 Mandamus & Other  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	TY LABOR    720 Labor/Management Relations   751 Family and Medical Leave Act   790 Other Labor Litigation   791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act   1 MMIGRATION   462 Naturalization Application   462 Naturalization Application   1 MMIGRATION   1 MMIGRATI	, 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 , 423 Withdrawal	□ 375 False Claims Act  376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))  □ 400 State Reapportionment  □ 410 Antitrust  □ 430 Banks and Banking  □ 450 Commerce  □ 460 Deportation  □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations  □ 480 Consumer Credit  □ 490 Cable/Sat TV  □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange  □ 890 Other Statutory Actions  □ 891 Agricultural Acts  □ 893 Environmental Matters  □ 895 Freedom of Information Act  □ 896 Arbitration  □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision  □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from 3 atte Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	use:				
VII. REQUESTED IN	<u> </u>	e VII and the PHRA IS A CLASS ACTION 3 FR CVP	A. DEMAND\$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:  XYes 'No	
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE		-,		JUNI DEMIAND	. 22105 110	
IF ANY	(see instructions).	JUDGE	ONLY OF DECOME	DOCKET NUMBER		
9/10/2018		SIGNATURE OF AT	UKNEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	e <sup>e</sup>					
RECEIPT# AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE	

Print

Save As...

Reset